

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,315	08/19/2003		John Spiridigliozzi	760-142	3552	
23869	7590	02/10/2006		EXAMINER		
		ARON, LLP	PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D			
	5900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3738		
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/200	DATE MAIL ED. 02/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/643,315	SPIRIDIGLIOZZI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher D. Prone	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No.	ovember 2005.						
·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16,18,34,36 and 38-47 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15,17,19-33,35 and 37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/5/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/643,315

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Invention I, species A, C, and E, claims 1-15, 17, 19-33, 35, and 37 in the reply filed on 11/16/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 16, 18, 34, 36, and 38-47 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species and inventions.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,315

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 1-15, 17, 19-33, 35, and 37 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 5-17 of copending Application No. 10/166,842. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the recite all the same structural requirements.

In regards to claims 1-15 and 17, Independent claim 1 recites that the implant comprises a first tubular layer of ePTFE and a second tubular layer of a textile material, these layers correspond to the first and third layers of claim 1 in copending Application No. 10/166,842. This claim is broader than the claim of copending Application No. 10/166,842 but it still contains the same structural limitations.

In regards to claims 19-33, 35, and 37, these claims clearly recite all the same structural limitations as claims 1-3 and 5-17 of copending Application No. 10/166,842.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15, 17, 19-33, 35, and 37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Planck (4,850,999) in view of Banas (USPN 6,264,684), and further in view of Pinchuk (USPN 5,628,788).

Art Unit: 3738

With reference Figure 1 Planck discloses a composite multilayer implantable structure comprising two discrete layers: a first inner tubular layer 12' and a second outer tubular layer 12" formed of ePTFE (3:15-25), said first 12' and second 12"layers having a metallic support structure 11 (2:9-27) positioned there between and a third tubular layer 13 formed of a woven (3:19) textile material (4:46-54) disposed around the first 12' and second layers 12". The support structure may be formed of monofilaments (3:1-7). The third textile layer 13 is adhered to the outer layer 12" by adhesives (4:46-48). Planck discloses the claimed multilayer implantable prosthesis however fails to disclose the bonding agent, the application of the graft comprising a plurality of longitudinally spaced crimps, or that the bonding agent is applied in a solution of dimethylacetamide

Banas teaches a stent with ePTFE layers 36 surrounding a stent component 38 wherein the layers are bonded together by a silicon bonding agent (4:41-51) to form a vascular graft with and abluminal supporting structure capable of being diametrically reduced to an intraluminal delivery profile and self-expanding *in vivo* to conform to the anatomical topography at the site of intraluminal implantation (1:60+). The ePTFE graft maybe helically wrapped with the polypropylene (7:38-67) clad stent to form the tubular prosthesis (abstract). With reference to Figures 13 and 14 the elongate tubular graft of Banas comprises a plurality of longitudinally spaced crimps 88. Banas further discloses the method of heating the prosthesis to bond the layers together into a monolithic unitary structure (8:15-53). Therefore in view of the teachings it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

Page 5

modified the multilayer structure as disclosed by Planck in order to incorporate the method of applying the graft providing crimps as taught by Banas in order to produce a an implantable prosthesis that is capable of being reduced to a smaller size for delivery and self-expands upon implantation to conform to the patient's vasculature structure.

Planck as modified by Banas, as discussed above, discloses the implantable device as claimed. Planck as modified by Banas however fails to disclose that the bonding agent comprises a polycarbonate urethane and is applied in a solution of dimethylacetamide.

Pinchuck et al teaches coating stent grafts with a polycarbonate urethane bonding agent for the purpose of enhancing hoop strength and connectivity, and applying the bonding agent in the form of a solution comprising dimethylacetamide to accelerate the drying time or spray buildup for polyurethane. Therefore in view of the teachings it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the implantable stent graft disclosed by Planck and modified by Banas by incorporating a polycarbonate urethane bonding solution of dimethylacetamide as taught by Pinchuck in order to speed up the polymerization of the polyurethane.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

DP DP

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700